

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 07 2008

CERTIFICATE OF FAX TRANSMISSION

Transmission Date: **07 March 2008**

Docket: **1009-029**

Transmission #: **1** of Total Transmissions: **1**

Pages in this Transmission: **6** of Total Pages Transmitted: **6**

I hereby certify that the following correspondence is being facsimile transmitted, via one or more transmissions as described above, to the attention of the Director of the US Patent and Trademark Office on the above date via the following facsimile number: 571-273-8300.

Petition to Review a Decision of Technology Center Director Under 37 CFR 1.181
(3 sheets)

Fee Transmittal Form (PTO/SB/17) (1 sheet)

Credit Card Payment Form (PTO-2038) (1 sheet)

Application Number 10/622,259
Confirmation No.: 3269
Filing Date: 18 July 2003
Document Submission Date: 07 March 2008

Art Unit: 2182
Examiner: Hassan, Aurangzeb
Inventor: Hausman, Steven Michael
Docket: 2002P20760US01 (1009-029)

07 Mar 2008

Date

Kelly B. Smoker

Name of Certifier

Kelly B. Smoker

Signature of Certifier

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAR 07 2008

PATENT

Application 10/622,259

Attorney Docket 2002P20760US01 (1009-029)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s) **Hausman, Steven Michael**
Application **10/622,259**
Confirmation **3269**
Filed **18 July 2003**
Application Title **Automatic Configuration of a Remote Modem**
Art Unit **2182**
Latest Examiner **Hassan, Aurangzeb**

Mail Stop Petitions

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

03/07/2008 PCHOMP 00000032 10622259

01 FC:1464

130.00 OP

**PETITION TO REVIEW A DECISION OF TECHNOLOGY CENTER DIRECTOR
UNDER 37 CFR 1.181**

Per MPEP 1002.02, Applicant respectfully petitions the Director of the USPTO or his proper delegate to review the implicit decision of the Technology Center Director to "deny by ignoring" or "refuse to act on" Applicant's previous petition to withdraw the finality of the Office Action dated 19 October 2007 due to that Office Action's failure to address the substance of Applicant's arguments traversing the rejection of claims 1-32.

A. Facts Involved

1. On 19 October 2007, the USPTO issued an Official Action (the "Office Action") that finally rejected claims 1-32 of the present application.
2. On 19 December 2007, Applicant filed a Reply to the Office Action, that Reply traversing the final rejections of claims 1-32.
3. Also on 19 December 2007, Applicant filed a Petition to withdraw the finality of

PATENT
Application 10/622,259
Attorney Docket 2002P20760US01 (1009-029)

those rejections due to their failure to address the substance of Applicant's arguments.

4. On 20 February 2008, Applicant filed a Petition for Supervisory Review again requesting prompt withdrawal of the finality of the rejections of claims 1-32, and prompt issuance of an Office Action fully responsive to the 19 December 2007 Reply.
5. No Advisory Action has yet been issued responsive to the 19 December 2007 Reply.
6. No Decision has yet been issued responsive to the 19 December 2007 Petition.
7. No Decision has yet been issued responsive to the 20 February 2008 Petition.
8. Each of the above facts is established by the official record found in PAIR.

B. Points to be Reviewed

1. Delays in the USPTO's prompt withdrawal of the finality of the 19 October 2007 Office Action unreasonably and unfairly fall on Applicant in the form of extension fees and fees for a Request for Continued Examination or Notice of Appeal, as well as loss of an opportunity to refute the improperly final grounds of rejection.
2. Even if the Petition to Withdraw Finality were promptly denied, delays in the USPTO's prompt issuance of an Advisory Action unreasonably and unfairly fall on Applicant in the form of extension fees.
3. Thus, given that the USPTO has had more than sufficient time to withdraw the finality of the 19 October 2007 Office Action and/or to issue an Advisory Action responsive to the 19 December 2007 Reply, Applicant respectfully interprets these delays as an implicit refusal to act on the part of the Technology Center Director.

C. Action Requested

4. For the above-stated reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the implicit refusal to act on the part of the Technology Center Director be reviewed by the Director of the USPTO or his proper delegate.

PATENT
Application 10/622,259
Attorney Docket 2002P20760US01 (1009-029)

5. As explained in the 19 December 2007 Petition, Applicant respectfully submits that the finality of the 19 October 2007 Office Action is premature. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the finality of the 19 October 2007 Office Action be promptly withdrawn and that notice of that withdrawal be promptly provided to Applicant.
6. If the 19 December 2007 Petition is denied, Applicant respectfully requests prompt issuance of an Advisory Action responsive to the 19 December 2007 Reply.

Submitted herewith please find the Petition fee under 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (h), which Applicant respectfully requests be refunded.

CONCLUSION

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 50-2504. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 434-972-9988 to discuss any matter regarding this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Haynes PLC


Michael N. Haynes

Date: 07 March 2008

Registration No. 40,014

1341 Huntersfield Close
Keswick, VA 22947
Telephone: 434-972-9988
Facsimile: 815-550-8850

PTO/SB/17 (12-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0551-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004.
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).**FEE TRANSMITTAL**
For FY 2005☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.**TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT** (\$) **130.00****Complete if Known**

Application Number	10/622,259
Filing Date	18 July 2003
First Named Inventor	Hausman, Steven Michael
Examiner Name	Hassan, Aurangzeb
Art Unit	2182
Attorney Docket No.	2002P20760US01 (1008-029)

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**MAR 07 2008****METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)**☐ Check ☒ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify):☒ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 50-2504 Deposit Account Name: Michael N. Haynes

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 ☒ Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION**1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES**

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES

Fee Description	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 or, for Reissues, each claim over 20 and more than in the original patent	50	25
Each independent claim over 3 or, for Reissues, each independent claim more than in the original patent	200	100
Multiple dependent claims	360	180

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	Multiple Dependent Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
- 20 or HP =	0	50	0			
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20						
Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)			
- 3 or HP =	0	210	0			
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3						

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper, the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
- 100 =		0	250	0
(round up to a whole number) x				

4. OTHER FEE(S)

	Fee Paid (\$)
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)	0
Other: Petition fee under 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (h)	130

SUBMITTED BY

Signature	<i>Michael N. Haynes</i>	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	40,014	Telephone	434-972-9888
Name (Print/Type)	Michael N. Haynes	Date	07 Mar 2008		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1460, Alexandria, VA 22313-1460.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.